

Exhibit 6

To: Patrick O. Dunphy[REDACTED]
Sent: Tue 1/12/2016 12:24:19 PM
Subject: RE: Steven Avery Netflix Documentary

Sir, thank you so much for your prompt reply. Like you, I have refused to watch it and in a small act of defiance canceled Netflix. I can try and get that info from others who have suffered thru it. I can tell you specifically what the defense claimed during the trial because I testified. The claims by the Netflix documentary mirror those claimed by the defense during the trial. I will get my notes together and address

Lt. Andrew Colborn
Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department
920-683-4216 Office
[REDACTED]

AndrewColborn@co.manitowoc.wi.us

This message is intended for the use of the person or organization to whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is confidential, privileged, or otherwise protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient or a person responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, any copying, distribution, or use of this message or the information that it contains is not authorized and may be prohibited by law.

From: Patrick O. Dunphy [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2016 1:41 PM
To: Andrew Colborn <AndrewColborn@co.manitowoc.wi.us>
Subject: RE: Steven Avery Netflix Documentary

Lt.

I read your email with interest.

I have studiously avoided watching the shows.

I do not know if you have a claim for defamation. But before I can comment it would be very helpful if you could detail not just what you claim is false but the episodes that contain the false statements. I can watch them directly rather than sitting through 10 hours of blind TV watching.

What is happening to you and your family is terrible and I will certainly give you some free time to determine if you may have the basis for a claim.

Patrick O. Dunphy
[REDACTED]

The information in this e-mail is confidential and may be protected by the attorney work product doctrine or the attorney client privilege. It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to anyone else is unauthorized. If this message has been sent to you in error, do not review, disseminate, distribute or copy it. Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error, then delete it. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Andrew Colborn [mailto:AndrewColborn@co.manitowoc.wi.us]
Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2016 12:49 PM
To: Patrick O. Dunphy <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Steven Avery Netflix Documentary

Dear sir, I was referred to you and your firm by Atty. Mark T. Baganz who stated you have defended police officers before in a defamation case or suit, (perhaps the Badger Guns case??). In 2005 I was involved in the 2nd Steven Avery investigation which culminated in Steven Avery being found guilty of 1st degree intentional homicide and he was sentenced to life imprisonment. During the trial Mr. Avery was very well represented by Attorney Dean Strang from Madison, WI and Attorney Jerome Buting from Brookfield, WI. In short, the defense was that I and another now retired police officer planted the evidence that led to Mr. Avery's conviction. Avery was found guilty by the jury and his appeals have been exhausted. No evidence was EVER planted and the defense issues were soundly defeated by the prosecution team of Milwaukee County ADA Norm Gahn, DOJ State Attorney Tom Fallon and then Calumet County DA Ken Kratz. Fast forward to 2015 and now Netflix releases a "documentary" made by 2 producers who worked with the Avery defense team and again I am being accused of committing felonies in order to put Steven Avery in prison. Attorneys Strang and Buting continue to support these lies and exacerbate the situation by continuing to state there was a conspiracy on the part of law enforcement and the judicial system to convict Avery. Since the release of this documentary my agency as a whole has been under suspicion and I personally am receiving threats, including death threats, on a daily basis. My family, including my children, have been threatened and packages that explode in glitter showers have been mailed to my residence. As you can see below, I am a detective lieutenant still employed by the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Office and I am concerned that my new found "popularity" will begin to affect the prosecution of cases I have before the court, and may ultimately destroy my career as the character assassination continues. I am GRAVELY concerned for the safety of my family, as my wife is blind and my children do not live at home so it is difficult for me to protect them. I have served my country proudly my entire life, I enlisted in the USAF in 1976 and served until 1988. I was hired as a law enforcement officer in 1992 and have honorably served this agency for 24 years. I have NEVER committed any type of wrong doing on any investigation and my military and police service records would stand up to any scrutiny. Not only is this eroding the confidence of the citizens in law enforcement, it is striking a serious blow to the entire Wisconsin judicial system. Is there any recourse for me?? I want the producers of the documentary as well as Netflix held accountable for their irresponsibility and their demonization and vilification of my agency and me personally. Also, can Attorneys Strang and Buting be held liable for their inflammatory role in this??.....thank you for your time and consideration, please feel free to contact me by any means you wish with the exception of my desk phone number, 920-683-4216 as that is constantly being used by people leaving recorded threats. My cell phone or email would be the best way to contact me.

Lt. Andrew Colborn
Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department
920-683-4216 Office

AndrewColborn@co.manitowoc.wi.us

This message is intended for the use of the person or organization to whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is confidential, privileged, or otherwise protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient or a person responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, any copying, distribution, or use of this message or the information that it contains is not authorized and may be prohibited by law.